

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,686	01/23/2004	Motoharu Tanizawa	5000-5141	5000-5141 9107 EXAMINER	
27123	7590 06/07/2006		EXAM		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			IP, SIKYIN		
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/763,686	TANIZAWA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Sikyin Ip	1742

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priof	10/703,000 TANIZAVA ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Sikyin Ip	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee the action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	had a standard by the standard						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		oroa oranno.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	<sup>o</sup> TOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b)	be entered and an ex	colanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	vided below or appended.		•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4 and 6-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	_						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1,116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and				
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance							
because: See Continuation Sheet.			_				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	7.				
		SIKYII PRIMARY E					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered because it will raise new ground of rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in final rejection. Applicants' argument in section B of instant remarks is note. First, claim 1 has been recited "consisting of" close transitional expression which excludes any ingredient that has not been cited. Second, "inevitable impurities" as recited in claim 8 means impurities that are impossible to avoid/control. Applicants intentionally manipulating the impurities in claimed alloy is found inconsistent with the transitional expression 'consisting of". Applicants' argument in page 6 of instant remarks with respect to Regazzoni is noted. "Mn is not necessary" is not the same as must be removed from Regazzoni alloy. It merely means Ca and Mn are interchangeable. Applicants' argument with respect to Faure is noted. But, optional elements are known to be added for their functions and properties. It has been held that combining known ingredient having known functions, to provide a composition having the additive effect of each of the known functions is within realm of performance of ordinary skill artisan. In re Castner, 186 USPQ 213 (217).